UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,499	07/14/2006	Laurent Blonde	PF030116	9875
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Thomson Licen	7590 06/23/200 sing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312		HOWARD, RYAN D		
Two Independe PRINCETON, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,499	BLONDE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LAGITITICI	Artonit	

	RYAN	N HOWARD	2851	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 09 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ Ti ap ap fo	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa oplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies oplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.2 priods:	me day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> : (1) an amendment, affidavi h appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🗀	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date o The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha	Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	LY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
have bee under 37 set forth may red	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thuce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount or ed statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. TI fil N	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the office of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	MENTS			
	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric They raise new issues that would require further considera			cause
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	•	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better forr appeal; and/or	n for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4 П т	the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
	applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / monamone (1	102 02-7.
6. 🔲 N	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable on-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. 🔯 F ho	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🛛 will by the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided b		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
С	ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed:			
С	aim(s) objected to: <u>13 and 14</u> . aim(s) rejected: <u>9-12</u> .			
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 TI be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but beforecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
er	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notion ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcon nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and w	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. □ 1	 Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S	SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. 🛛 (Other: proposed amendment filed 6/09/2009 changes the scope and consideration		ssues that would requ	<u>ire further</u>
<u>Joanon</u>	and conditional.			
	I HOWARD/ ner, Art Unit 2851	/William C. Dowling/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2851	
		, -		